



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

| Aum css. | COMMISSIONER FOR FATERIS |
|----------|---|
| | P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov |
| | |

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING D | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,731 | 10/828,731 04/21/2004 | | Andre Stojc | WAB 03220 | 5243 |
| 7590 09/16/2005 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| JAMES RAY 2640 Pitcairn F | | ATES | | MCCARRY JI | R, ROBERT J |
| | Monroeville, PA 15146 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3617 | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/828,731 | STOJC ET AL. | | | | |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | Robert J. McCarry, Jr. | 3617 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ippears on the cover sheet w | nun the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the materned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a | | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | 7 | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawing | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in A | Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | ist of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) , Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050913 | | | | |

the

Application/Control Number: 10/828,731

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-6 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fink (US 6,189,265).

Fink discloses a swinging/sliding door mechanism comprised of a pair of doors 1, 2 having seals that mate with seals on the side of the vehicle. The door is has rollers 5, 7 that run on rails 6, 8, or hangers, at the top and bottom of the car. A rotabale drive spindle 12 operates the doors. An electric drive motor 10 rotates the spindle and a drive nut 21 engages the spindle. The movement of the nut and the spindle results in the opening and closing of the doors. A hanger bracket 3 is coupled to the drive means 10 and adapted for linear movement with the drive nut 21 when the spindle 12 is rotated to open and close the doors. A brake system in the form of clutch disks are attached to the spindle 12 and maintain the doors in a locked position, whether that be locked open or locked closed, it prevents the spindle 12 and drive nut 21 from moving the doors. The brake system is further comprised of an emergency actuating device which overrides the controls from the operator of the train to release the brakes and open the door. The signaling for the door to open and close originates with the operator of the train at a remote location from the doors and the other sets of doors along the length of the train.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/06/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose a sealing means for the vehicle doors and the prior art goes on to state that there is no longer any need to allow for rubber seals". The claims of the instant application make no reference to rubber seals, they simply call for a sealing means. The motor and linkage assembly of the prior art does in fact act as a sealing means by closing the doors to the vehicle and keeping them closed, therein sealing them. The prior art also shows a simplified structure by stating that the rubber seals are not necessary for the device to function properly.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3617

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Templeton et al (US 4,981,084), Springer et al (US 6,032,416) and Kurnik (US 6,125,768) all disclose types of door systems for passenger cars.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,731

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J. McCarry, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 3617

RJM

September 13, 2005

S. JOSEPH MORANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500